

LORI GRIFA Commissioner

### NOTICE OF MEETING Government Records Council August 24, 2010

Pursuant to the Open Public Meetings Act, notice is hereby given that the Government Records Council will hold a regular meeting, at which formal action may be taken, commencing at 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, August 24, 2010 at the Department of Community Affairs ("DCA") offices located at 101 South Broad Street in Trenton, New Jersey.

The agenda, to the extent presently known, is listed below. The public session and consideration of cases is expected to commence at 9:30 a.m. in Room 129 of the DCA.

### Public Session (DCA, Room 129, 9:30 a.m.)

Call to Order Meeting Notice Roll Call Public Statement of Purpose of Closed Session

### **Cases Scheduled for Adjudication \***

### A. Administrative Adjudication:

- 1. Deborah E. Ehling v. NJ Department of Health & Senior Services, Division of Office of Emergency Medical Services (2009-236)
- 2. Daniel Ritter v. Borough of Atlantic Highlands (Monmouth) (2009-306)
- Steven J. Kossup v. NJ Department of Law & Public Safety, NJ State Police (2009-308)
- 4. David L. Nash v. Jackson Township Police Department (Ocean) (2010-39)
- 5. Kenneth Varcammen v. Robbinsville Police Department (Mercer) (2010-53)
- 6. George Bender v. NJ Department of Corrections, Adult Diagnostic & Treatment Center (2010-65)
- 7. Chris L. Hayes v. Township of Cherry Hill (Camden) (2010-84)
- 8. Linda Graumann v. Borough of Newfield (Gloucester) (2010-87)
- 9. Cynthia A. McBride v. City of Summit (Union) (2010-96)
- 10. Frank D'Amore, Sr. v. Borough of North Plainfield (Somerset) (2010-98)
- 11. Robert J. Bifani, Jr. v. Borough of Atlantic Highlands (Monmouth) (2010-100)
- 12. Jacqueline A. Chadwick v. Brick Township School District (Ocean) (2010-150)
- 13. Jacqueline A. Chadwick v. Brick Township School District (Ocean) (2010-151)
- 14. Rev. Dr. Ursula B. Cargill v. NJ Department of Education (2010-167)



CHRIS CHRISTIE Governor

KIM GUADAGNO Lt. Governor 15. Richard Rivera v. Borough of Penns Grove, Police Department (Salem) (2010-170)

16. Carl E. Peters v. Borough of Palmyra (Burlington) (2010-178)

# **B.** Council Adjudication:

- 1. Steve Hyman v. Jersey City (Hudson) (2007-118)
- 2. Nia H. Gill v. NJ Department of Banking & Insurance (2007-189)
- 3. Jesse Wolosky v. Township of Sparta (Sussex) (2008-219)
- 4. Tom Coulter v. Township of Bridgewater (Somerset) (2008-220)
- 5. Jacqueline Andrews v. Township of Irvington (Essex) (2008-232)
- 6. Jacqueline Andrews v. Township of Irvington (Essex) (2008-243)
- 7. Jesse Wolosky v. Township of Stillwater (Sussex) (2009-22)
- 8. William Gettler v. Township of Wantage (Sussex) (2009-73)
- 9. William Gettler v. Township of Wantage (Sussex) (2009-74)
- 10. Jesse Wolosky v. Andover Regional School District (Sussex) (2009-94)
- 11. Anthony Parisi v. City of Gloucester (Camden) (2009-112)
- 12. Miguel Mendes v. Freedom Academy Charter School (Camden) (2009-184)
- 13. Jnanendra P. Ray v. Freedom Academy Charter School (Camden) (2009-185)
- 14. Russell Miller v. Westwood Regional School District (Bergen) (2009-191)
- 15. Steven R. Maness v. Borough of Sayreville (Middlesex) (2009-192)
- 16. Jesse Wolosky v. NJ Department of Environmental Protection (2009-194)
- 17. Christopher Cicero v. NJ Department of Child & Family Services, Division of Child Behavioral Health Services (2009-201)
- 18. Alyson Librizzi v. Township of Verona Police Department (Essex) (2009-213)
- 19. Maximino Castro v. NJ Department of Corrections (2009-290)
- 20. Mary Werner v. NJ Department of Law & Public Safety, Division of Consumer Affairs, State Board of Psychological Examiners (2010-95)
- 21. Thomas Caggiano v. Borough of Stanhope (Sussex) (2010-173)

# C. Complaints on Appeal: None

# D. Complaints Adjudicated in NJ Superior Court & NJ Supreme Court: None

# **Executive Director's Report and New Business:**

**Public Comment:** In the interest of time, speakers are limited to **five (5) minutes**. Speakers with prepared testimony should provide eight (8) copies for the Council.

# Adjournment

\* Neither attorneys nor other representatives of the parties are required to attend this meeting nor will they be permitted to make oral or written comment during the adjudication.